



CALL-IN REQUEST FORM

Decision reference/minute no.	CABINET PARK - ITEM SEVEN
Date of publication of decision:	20 TH SEPTEMBER 2018
Decision taken by:	CABINET
This form must be returned to the Chief Executive within 7 working days of the decision being published with at least 5 signatures	
Decision called-in:	UNIVERSITY GROWTH CORRIDOR
<p>A call-in should satisfy one or more of the following criteria.</p> <p>Which of the following criteria supports the call-in of this decision? (please tick)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The decision may be contrary to the budget or policy framework set by the Council and the Monitoring Officer has advised accordingly</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The decision is inconsistent with another Council policy</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The decision is inconsistent with a previous Overview and Scrutiny recommendation, which has been accepted by the Council or the Cabinet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The decision maker has not taken into account relevant considerations and this can be demonstrated by reference to the documents supporting the decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The decision maker has failed to consult relevant people or bodies in contravention of defined Council policies or procedures</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The decision has or will demonstrate a significant adverse public reaction</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The decision gives rise to significant legal, financial or propriety issues</p>	

Please explain how the relevant criteria above are met by this call-in:

COUNCIL POLICY - A HEALTHY, ACTIVE AND SAFE BOROUGH, UNDER THIS POLICY GREEN AND OPEN SPACES SHOULD BE PROTECTED. ALLOWING THE BUILDING OF 1,200 HOUSES IS CONTRA TO THIS.

ADVISE PUBLIC REACTION - THE REMOVAL OF THIS LAND FROM THE GREEN BELT WILL CAUSE A HUGE ADVERSE REACTION.

LEGAL/FINANCIAL ISSUES - ACHIEVING THE REMOVAL OF THE FORMER GOLF COURSE OUT OF THE GREEN BELT WILL REQUIRE A HUGE LEGAL/FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTION

Suggested proposal you would like to be voted on at the call-in meeting (this should be an evidence-based proposal and you should provide evidence to support the proposal)

THIS CALL IN SHOULD BE GOING TO FINANCE, ASSETS & PERFORMANCE AS WELL AS EEP SCRUTINY COMMITTEE DUE TO ITS VALUE AND SIGNIFICANCE.

ALSO THE MAJOR RISKS, AS HIGHLIGHTED IN SECTION TEN OF THE REPORT, NEED CLOSER EXAMINATION BY EEP SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Members requesting call-in of the decision:

	Name	Signature	Date
1.	MIKE STUBBS		26/09/18
2.	AMELIA ROBT		26/09/18
3.	CHRIS SPENCE		26/09/18
4.	BRIAN JOHNSON		26/09/18
5.	SYLVIA DYMOND		26/09/18
6.			

THIS PART OF THE FORM IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OR HIS/HER REPRESENTATIVE

Date and time form received:	
Form processed by (name):	
Date of publication of decision:	
Was the call-in request received within 7 working days of publication?	YES/NO If no reject and inform relevant parties
Are there at least 5 appropriate Members' signatures on the call-in notice?	YES/NO If no reject and inform relevant parties
Which Overview and Scrutiny Committee will this call-in be referred to?	

Signature of Chair / Vice-Chair of relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee		Date:
---	--	-------

The appropriate decision making body, Members requesting call-in, the Monitoring Officer, the Licensing and Democratic Services Manager and the Scrutiny Officer need to be informed of receipt of call-in form.